WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Eduardo Castro-Lemus		uardo Castro-Lemus	Case Number:	08-6089M	
present	t and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § s represented by counsel. I conclude be defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hear by a preponderance of the o	ing was held on March 26, 2008. Defendant was evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by	y a prepo	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	X	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully a	dmitted for permanent residence.	
	☑ The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			Inited States illegally.	
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
The defendant has no significant contacts		ntacts in the United States	or in the District of Arizona.		
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
The defendant has a prior criminal history.					
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
		The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
		There is a record of prior failure to ap	opear in court as ordered.		
		The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximum	of	years imprisonment.	
at the ti	me of th	ne hearing in this matter, except as no	ted in the record.	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
	1. 2.	DIRECTI	tions will reasonably assur ONS REGARDING DETEI	e the appearance of the defendant as required.	
appeal. of the U	ctions fa The de Inited St	cility separate, to the extent practicable fendant shall be afforded a reasonable ates or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purpo	e, from persons awaiting or e opportunity for private con le Government, the person	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding. JEASE	
deliver	IT IS O	RDERED that should an appeal of this	detention order be filed w	ith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to st one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Service	s suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release tently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	o a third party is to be cons e the District Court to allov	idered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria v Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
	DATE	ED this 26 th day of March, 200	08.		
			Dans		
		Ur	David K. Duncan nited States Magistrate.	Judge	